

Pearson summary costs to state, A,B,C..txt

From: "Hugh Pearson" <hpearson@mpn-arch.com>  
To: <elbyburr@comcast.net>; "Kevin Lyden" <kevin.lyden@salemct.gov>;  
<DLeake@salem.cen.ct.gov>  
Subject: Salem School  
Date: Friday, March 11, 2011 10:30 AM

Attached is a revised cost schedule with three new columns to the right side "A", "B", "C", in which are estimated amounts for reimbursement depending on the application submitted. Please share this as appropriate.

From the chart Column:

A: based on limited reimbursement of roof & code work only at 52%, and all other work as ineligible, with the BSF approval in 2011. Design and construction could proceed this year.

B: based on all potentially eligible scope, blended in an "Alterations" project, subject to Space Standards (students & square footage formula), with an estimated return of 35% of eligible items. BSF/State Legislative approval would occur June 2012 with design and construction following.

C: based on all potentially eligible scope, submitted in two separate and distinct applications. Application 1 similar to "A" above (roof & code) at 52%; Application 2 similar to "B" with all other eligible work at the 35% reimbursement. Variations of BSF approvals from one to two years, separate or joined construction projects, impact on the occupied school, etc.

At the bottom of the chart is a straight apples to apples comparison of estimated reimbursement subtracted from total project cost of \$6.2m labeled as "Town Share". Below that we add values for possible escalation and increases in costs as the project may extend in time or complexity as two projects, labeled as "Estimated Town Share".

My apology as all this can be rather confusing with numerous subtle issues, especially as the costs are conceptual estimates for areas of work vs. detailed take offs of quantities for more accurate estimate results, which can only occur as the design is developed.

The bottom line here is that in the grand scheme the variations aren't as different as I would have thought. This leads to other variables such as how soon to get started, how much construction activity should occur while the building is occupied, and others.

The next step should be to identify the overall project approach based on time, costs, current economy, potential state changes in support, and disruption due to construction.

Hugh D. Pearson, AIA, LEED Green Assoc., Partner  
Moser Pilon Nelson Architects  
30 Jordan Lane  
Wethersfield, CT 06109  
Phone: 860-563-6164 x236  
Fax: 860-257-4675  
www.mpn-arch.com